

**REMARKS**

This paper is responsive to an *Official Action* that issued in this case on October 17, 2007. In that Action, the Examiner found that claims 1-21 and 24-31 were allowable, but maintained his rejection of claims 32-38.

Responsive to the *Action*, applicant cancels claims 32-38. In view of the cancellation of claims 32-28, it is believed that the present case is in condition for allowance. A notice to that effect is solicited.

Respectfully,  
Robert James Howard et al.

By /Wayne S. Breyer/

Wayne S. Breyer  
Reg. No. 38,089  
Attorney for Applicants  
732-578-0103 x12

DeMont & Breyer, L.L.C.  
Suite 250  
100 Commons Way  
Holmdel, NJ 07733  
United States of America